_	
•	
•	
2	
1	
?	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/680,910	GRABELSKY ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin Mew	2664
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>11/2/2004</u> .		L
2. \boxtimes The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>28-42, which are now renumber</u>	red as 1-15, respectively.	
3. \square The drawings filed on $___$ are accepted by the Examine	r.	
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Application No	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date (b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of
identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the drawin he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d	igs in the front (not the back) of d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's Amendn	е
•		<u>.</u>

Art Unit: 2664

Response to Amendment

1. Acknowledgement is made of the Arguments/Remarks received on 11/2/2004.

Claim Objections

2. Acknowledgement is made of the amended claims 29, 33, 40 received on 11/2/2004, which has corrected the deficiency mentioned in the previous Office Action. The claim objections to claims 29, 33, 40 have been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

3. Acknowledgement is made of the terminal disclaimer filed on 11/2/2004 regarding the non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection as mentioned in the previous Office Action. The double patenting rejection has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 2664

EXAMINER'S STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The present application relates to a method in a network system of providing multiple priority classes with a medium-control-layer protocol connecting stations to a transmission medium, including the unique method steps of "receiving on the first station with the medium access control protocol a combined contention-signal including a plurality of priority class intent-to-transmit signals, wherein the combined contention signal is a superposition of a plurality of priority class intent-to-transmit-signals from others stations in the network system; decoding a plurality of priority class intent-to-transmit-signal frequencies from the combinedcontention-signal; determining whether the first station has selected the highest signal frequency for the desired priority class from the plurality of priority class signal frequencies in the combined-contention-signal and if so, transmitting data from the first station to the transmission medium as data for the desired priority class." The closet prior art, Chao et al. (USP \$\frac{1}{4}\$5,050,164), discloses a customer premises network in which a protocol is executed by the media access controller in each terminal adapter and by the media access controller of the optical network interface, which serves to resolve contention among different priority classes among the customer premise equipments for access to the cells of the OC-3 signal." However, Chao fails to anticipate or render obvious the above quoted limitations. This renders it allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 09/680,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 2664

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Mew whose telephone number is 571-272-3141. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on 571-272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WELLINGTON CHIN
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.